THE RESULTS OF ANTERIOR CRUCIATE LIGAMENT RECONSTRUCTION WITH AUTOGENOUS HAMSTRING TENDONS
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Abstract: Introduction: Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries are the most common type of injury among knee ligament injuries. Despite the high success rates at ligament reconstruction with arthroscopic techniques, efforts for choosing the best grafts and fixation materials and appropriate post-operative rehabilitation are still ongoing. Materials and Methods: Between January 2007 and December 2010, 105 patients who underwent arthroscopic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction using autogenous hamstring tendons were included in our study. The mean follow-up time was 16.3 months (7-46 months). The patients were evaluated according to physical examination findings like Lachman tests, Pivot-Shift, anterior drawer tests, thigh circumference measurement and clinical scores like Lysholm, IKDC score and VAS scores. Results: All patients underwent arthroscopy using standard arthroscopy portals. The residues of the ACL were cleaned and notchplasty was performed, and the semitendinosus and gracilis tendons were placed with transtibial technique in accordance with the trace of the natural ACL. Preoperatively, the Lysholm score was 56.16 ± 17.4 and postoperatively, the score was 90.16 ± 6.6 and the result was statistically significant. Lachman was found to be 86.7% negative, pivot shift was 100% negative and the anterior drawer test was 95.2% negative. Preoperative VAS was 6.28 ± 1.9 and postoperative VAS was 3.41 ± 1.2. According to the IKDC score, 4.8% of the patients were A, 41% B, 41.9% C and 12.4% D groups, 54.8% of the patients in the postoperative evaluation. A, 36.2% B, 8.6% C and 1% D groups. 12% of the patients felt numbness around the graft site. No other complication was observed. Conclusion: When ACL surgery with hamstring tendons is performed with appropriate surgical technique, satisfactory results are obtained. Anterior knee pain and patella-related complications are frequently seen at patellar tendon grafts. This problem can be a reason for the preference of hamstring tendons in ACL repairs. Key words: anterior cruciate ligament; reconstruction; hamstring; rehabilitation.

INTRODUCTION

The number of knee-related injuries are increasing nowadays due to the increase in sports awareness. Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injuries are the most common type of injury among knee ligament injuries. The efforts to make the diagnosis goes back to the years before Christ in the historical development. Until today’s modern techniques many different surgical methods which began in the 1800’s has been used in this type of injury. Despite the high success rates at ligament reconstruction with arthroscopic techniques, efforts to achieve better understanding the etiological factors, choosing the best grafts and fixation materials and appropriate post-operative rehabilitation are still ongoing. In this study, we aimed to evaluate the results of anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with hamstring autogenous grafts according to the current literature.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Between January 2007 and December 2010, a total of 112 patients underwent anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. 7 patients were reconstructed with allografts and other 105 patients underwent arthroscopic anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction with autograft hamstring tendons. 105 patients with autogenous hamstring tendon graft were included in our study. An informed consent form was signed by all patients. All patients included in the study were treated...
with endo-button method. 96.8% of the patients were male and 3.2% were female. 61% of the knees were right and 39% were left. The mean age was 28.76 ± 6.3 (16-43) years. The period between the anterior cruciate ligament injury and surgery was 13.3 ± 8.2 months (15 days-48 months). In the etiology of ACL, non-contact injuries were found to be 54.2%, contact injuries were 41%, and at 4.8% of the patients the etiology was not fully demonstrated.

The diagnosis was made with physical examination findings and radiological evaluation in all patients. Lachman and Pivot-shift tests were performed on physical examination (Table 1). Direct radiography and magnetic resonance imaging were taken. The mean follow-up was 16.3 months (7-46 months).

### Surgical Technique

All patients were given 1 g cefazolin intravenously for infection prophylaxis one hour before the operation. Patients were re-examined under anesthesia and clinical diagnosis was confirmed. Standard anterolateral, anteromedial portals were used. ACL and posterior cruciate ligament were examined. It was confirmed by the probe that the ACL was injured. ACL residues were removed with shaver. The femoral attachment of the ACL was revealed by making notchplasty. Then, arthroscopic procedure was interrupted, and grafting was started.

3 to 4 cm longitudinal incision was made from 1 cm medial of the tuberositas tibia and 3 to 4 cm below the medial joint space- gracilis tendon were palpated to get hamstring autograft. Sartorial fascia was reached and cut. The sartorius tendon was located at the forefront under the sartorius fascia. Semitendinosus and gracilis tendons were followed from distal to proximal. Semitendinosus and the gracilis tendons were separated from the surrounding tissues and cut from the adhesion sites. Both tendons were sutured and marked. The tendon was passed through the tendon scraper and held with Kocher pens then the stripper was advanced with repetitive maneuvers until the tendon was pulled out. The long and thick tendon is placed outside, and the two tendons are intertwined and attached to each other with 2/0 rapid vicryl. Krackow sutures were thrown using ethibond from approximately 2/3-part of each end when the tendons were stretched with the special stretching apparatus. A guide wire was sent from the anteromedial portal when 55° adjusted tibial guide was located to the end of lateral meniscus posterior horn and 7 mm anterior of the ACL attachment. The tibial tunnel was opened over the guide tibial wire taking care of the thickness of the graft. For femoral tunnel, when knee was at 90° flexion, femoral aimer with a diameter appropriate to graft thickness was placed to posterior cortex of the intercondylar notch for right knee 11 clockwise and for left knee 1 clockwise with transtibial technique. The guide wire sent through the aimer was removed from the femoral anterior cortex. The knee was kept constant at 90° flexion to prevent damage to the guide wire. Femoral drill was sent over the guide wire according to the length of the graft desired to remain in the tunnel (average 25-35 mm). The endobutton drill was then advanced until the femoral anterior cortex was passed. The length of the endobutton tunnel was subtracted from the length of the femoral tunnel length and 5 mm endobutton length added to calculate appropriate endobutton size to be used. Endobutton free ends with attached graft were pushed through the hole in the back of the guide wire. The guide wire was pulled from the anterior cortex of the femur to allow the graft to enter the femoral tunnel. Four endobutton threads (2 in 2 different colors) were taken together with the guide wire from the femoral anterior cortex and the endobutton was placed in the femoral anterior cortex. Fixation was checked by pulling the graft from the tibial side. An interference screw and/or biodegradable screw were used for the tibial fixation. The knee was fixed to the tibia with interference screw while the knee was flexed 20°. Jones bandage was applied to the knee in full extension. After the operation, continuous ice was applied to the knee and 24 hours later the drain was pulled. Quadriceps exercises and exercises with CPM device were started in early period.

### RESULTS

Medial meniscus rupture at 26 patients (24.8%), at 18 patients (17.1%) lateral meniscus and at 5 patients (4.8%) concomitant medial and lateral meniscus tears was observed and therefore partial meniscectomy was performed. There was chondral lesion in femoral medial condyle at 8 patients (7.6%).

The upper pole of the thigh was measured from the proximal 15 cm of the patella upper pole and compared with the postoperative third month measurements. T-test
was performed for the evaluation of thigh circumference measurement which was evaluated as a dependent group that conforms to the normal distribution. The mean preoperative thigh circumference was 45.37 cm and the post op thigh circumference was 43.35 cm. This result was statistically significant (p < 0.001).

The mean follow-up was 16.3 months (7-46 months). Lysholm and IKDC scoring were performed at 3-6 and 12 months. Lysholm score in two dependent groups that did not conform to normal distribution were evaluated according to Wilcoxon test. Lysholm score was 56.16 ± 17.4 preoperatively and the postoperative score was 90.16 ± 6.6 and the result was statistically significant (p < 0.001). Pre- and postoperative VAS (Visual Analogue Scale) were performed. Preoperative VAS was 6.28 ± 1.9 and postoperative VAS was 3.41 ± 1.2. Lachman, Pivot-shift and Forward Drawer tests were performed at 3-6 and 12 months postoperatively. The results of the patients were evaluated statistically according to the results of the 12th month (Table 2). According to the International Knee Documentation Committee Evaluation Form (IKDC), 4.8% of the patients were included in the A group, 41 % B group, 41.9 % C group and 12.4% D groups. At postoperative evaluation (when the results of the 12th month of all patients were evaluated to ensure standardization), 54.3% of the patients were in A group, 36.2% B group, 8.6% C group and 1% D groups (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

The ACL lesion is the most common ligament injury among sports-related knee ligament injuries (1). The ACL injury mechanism may be sports-related or traumatic. Sports-related causes are also referred as non-contact injuries. In our study, the rate of non-contact injuries was 54.3% and the traumatic injuries was 41%.

There are still controversial issues in ACL surgery that have not been fully resolved. Discussion on the graft to be used in ACL reconstruction is ongoing. The ideal graft to be used should be easily accessible and easy to take, must be similar to natural ligament, and be able to allow aggressive rehabilitation. The graft should rapidly gain anatomical characteristics, should not cause morbidity in the donor field, should not cause disease transition or immune response. It should also not be expensive (2).

The graft is characterized by two anatomical features: Strength and stiffness. The strength of the graft should be equal to or greater than the natural ACL strength. The most commonly used autografts in ACL surgery are hamstring tendons and bone-patellar tendon-bone (BPB) grafts. Noyes et al in their study on BPB graft showed that the 14mm wide graft’s strong was 164% of normal ACL, and 10 mm B graft’s strong was 107% of normal ACL strength. In the same study, they have reported that a single semitendinosus-free graft’s (ST) strong was 70% of normal ACL, single gracilis grafts’ strong (G) was 50% of normal ACL, double ST/G graft’s strong was 250% of normal ACL of and quadriceps-patellar retinaculum-patellar tendon graft’s strong (QT) was 14-21% of normal ACL reported 14-21% strength (3, 4).

Another feature of the graft is its stiffness. Insufficiency occurs early in hard grafts and late in soft grafts due to excess energy absorption. Therefore, the stiffness of the graft is important. While BPB is 3-fold harder than normal ACL, ST/G stiffness is equal to normal ACL (4).

Because of their high strength and stiffness and better fixation of bone-bone fixation, BPB grafts were preferred in ACL reconstructions. However, the disadvantages of this grafts are the problems such as anterior knee pain, patellar fracture, patellofemoral crepitation, numbness because of damage to infrapatellar branch of the saphenous nerve and loss of quadriceps strength (5). Since the strength and stiffness of the hamstring tendons were less than the normal ACL, this problem was prevented by forming a quadruple hamstring graft from these tendons.

In hamstring grafts donor site morbidity is less than patellar tendon grafts. Neuromas due to damage to the infrapatellar branch of the saphenous nerve are less common during hamstring graft harvesting. (6).

An interesting fact about the hamstring tendons is that they continue regeneration after the harvesting of these tendons. Ferretti et al. (7) showed in their study radiological signs of fibrous bands began to form in the remaining tendon path after removal of semitendinosus tendon.

In recent years, fixation of grafts to allow early rehabilitation of patients gained importance. The most com-
monly used fixation device for hamstring tendon fixation is endobutton. It has been shown that the endobutton fixation force is stronger than the interference screw. Endobutton transfers the force through the graft to the lateral cortex of the femur. The durability and survival of graft is so prolonged. However, due to the fact that the fixation is far from the joint, there are studies indicate that the endobutton does not prevent the piston movement in the tunnel and may eventually cause tunnel expansion (8, 9, 10).

The effects of using hamstring tendons on knee flexion or internal rotation are still a matter of debate. Hamstring tendons are the primary flexor of the knee and at the same time responsible for the internal rotation of the tibia. Some studies have stated that there is no hamstring weakness in the long term (11), but some studies have reported mild hamstring weakness (12, 13).

Hamstring muscle weakness first makes rehabilitation difficult after ACL reconstruction. In most rehabilitation programs, hamstring muscles have early and aggressive hamstring strengthening due to the synergistic study with ACL (14) in providing anterior knee stability. Secondly, weakness of hamstring muscles adversely affects athletic performance.

In a study to demonstrate the limitation of motion after the use of hamstring graft (15), postural stability with Biodex System and flexion, extension, internal rotation and external rotation moment indexes and isokinetic extension/flexion moment measurements with Cybex dynamometer were performed. Measurements show that flexion-extension and internal rotation-external rotation forces are reduced in the operated extremity at the postoperative 1st year. This result demonstrates the importance of early and aggressive hamstring strengthening in the postoperative rehabilitation program in patients undergoing reconstruction with hamstring tendon.

There is still controversy about the time of ACL reconstructions. There is a risk of arthrofibrosis in acute reconstructions. Shelbourne (16) reported the rate of arthrofibrosis as 17% when reconstructed in the first three weeks after injury. On the other hand, this rate was 4% for those who were operated after three weeks. The rate of arthrofibrosis was decreased from 7% to 0.5% with the accelerated rehabilitation program. Waiting for the decrease of the edema and patient’s regain mobility and starting rehabilitation before surgery and reconstruction in the subacute period (4-6 weeks) is recommended (17). In addition, additional meniscus injuries and chondral damage have been reported in chronic repairs. In our study, the time between the patient’s ACL rupture time and operation time was found as 13.3 ± 8.2 months (15 days-48 months).

Proper rehabilitation program after ACL reconstruction is one of the most important steps of treatment. This step directly affects the success of surgery. According to the literature results, the average time to return to active sport is 6 months (18). It was stated that early initiation of range of motion (ROM) exercises in early post-operative period and post-operative early mobilization positively affected rehabilitation and prevented quadriceps atrophy (18). We started passive ROM exercises with CPM after removing the drain and Jones bandage for our patients.

Debates on the post-surgery brace using is also continuing. In a study conducted on healthy ACL volunteers using various brands of brace, none of the braces did not prevent any strain on the ACL in the normal activities of the knee but inhibited the anterior movement of the tibia at high forces and challenging movements (18). Chadwick et al. (19) reported that the use of a brace after the reconstruction using hamstring tendons did not alter clinical outcomes. In a study performed by Beynnon et al. (20) at patients with an ACL lesion and reconstructed ACL lesion, it has been shown that brace contributes to the development of knee proprioception. We applied brace for 6 weeks to the patients in our study.

**CONCLUSION**

As a result, if the ACL surgery using hamstring tendons is performed with appropriate surgical technique, satisfactory results may be obtained. The reason for the selection of hamstring tendons at ACL reconstructions may be the high incidence of knee pain and patellar complications at BPB grafts.
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Sažetak

REZULTATI REKONSTRUKCIJE PREDNJEG UKRŠTENOG LIGAMENTA KOLENA UZ UPOTREBU AUTOGENE TETIVE

Karsiš McGu, Erdem Yusuf, Tekin Ali Cagri, Tunay Servet

Sa prirodnom anatomskom pozicijom ACL. Preoperacija postavljene koristeći transtibijalnu tehniku u skladu je notch-plastika, a tetive semitendineusa i gracilisa artroskopske portove. Ostaci ACL su uklonjeni i izvedeni pacijenti su podvrgnuti artroskopiji koristeći standardne njeg ukrćtenog ligamenta koristeći autogene delove tetiva. Između januara 2007. i decembra 2010. godine izvedena je studija u kojoj je uključeno 105 pacijenata metode:

Klijunčne reći: prednji ukršteni ligament, rekonstrukcija, rehabilitacija.
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