ELECTROSURGICAL MICRONEEDLE VERSUS SCALPEL SKIN INCISIONS IN THE FACIAL REGION
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Abstract: Objective: Electrosurgery is widely used in surgical procedures, but mainly for subcutaneous and deep layer dissections. The aim of this study was to clinically evaluate the results of routine use of electrosurgical microneedle in performing skin incisions in the facial regions. Material and methods: Eighty patients with both benign and malignant skin lesions in the facial regions undergoing surgery were enrolled in this study. In group A comprising 40 patients, cold steel surgical scalpel N° 15 was used for the surgical procedure. Electrosurgical microneedle with 0.06 mm tip radius and generator unit KLS Martin Electrosurgical Unit ME MB 2 set on cutting mode, power 12 W was used for performing the surgery in group B including the same number of patients. Differences between incision time, excision time, blood loss and the wound related complications were evaluated. Results: The two groups did not significantly differ in the speed of incision and speed of excision although both the speed of incision and the speed of excision were found to be slightly faster in the electrosurgery group. There was significantly less blood loss in the electrosurgery group compared with the scalpel group. Statistical analysis did not confirm as significant the difference in complications between the two groups although most of the complications were associated with the patients operated with scalpel. Conclusion: Electrosurgery presents safe and effective way of work. In that manner, it is very important to choose the right generator unit’s settings and the right type of electrode.
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INTRODUCTION

Surgical scalpel is the most widely used cutting instrument in surgery. The incisions made with the scalpel are sharp and very precise causing only mechanical injury to the tissue together with profound bleeding. Different types of scalpel are used for different procedures in surgery (1, 2).

However, over the years different alternative ways for cutting the skin have been developed with electrosurgery being the most popular one (3). Electrosurgery involves the passage of high frequency alternating electrical current in the tissues to produce the effect of cutting or coagulation (3, 4).

In recent years there has been a huge improvement in the design of electrosurgical devices thus making electrosurgery safe and effective method for work. But although it is widely used in surgical procedures, mainly for subcutaneous and deep layer dissections its use on skin has been precluded by the fear of complications like delayed wound healing and surgical site infections (4). In that manner it is very important to choose the right generator unit’s settings and the right type of electrode.

In order to achieve the effect of the scalpel, pure cut mode with the generator unit output power set on the lowest power that can produce the effect of cutting combined with an electrode in form of needle or microneedle should be used for cutting the skin (5, 6). The microneedle electrode with the tip radius of 0.06 mm is used for performing fine surgical procedures (1, 7, 8).

The aim of this study was to clinically evaluate the results of routine use of electrosurgical microneedle in performing skin incisions in the facial regions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Eighty patients with both benign and malignant skin lesions in the facial regions undergoing surgery were enrolled in this study. The patients were recruited
from the University Clinic for Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery, Medical Faculty, Ss. Cyril and Methodius University in Skopje, Macedonia, in the time interval between September 2017 to September 2018.

Patients were randomized in two groups using the envelope randomization method. Each group included 40 patients. In group A cold steel surgical scalpel No. 15 was used for the surgical procedure whereas electrosurgical microneedle with 0.06 mm tip radius and generator unit KLS Martin Electrosurgical Unit ME MB 2 set on cutting mode, power 12 W was used for performing the surgery in group B. Electrosurgery was used for hemostasis during the dissection process in both groups using the same generator unit set on coagulation mode power 20 W.

Surgical procedures were performed under local infiltrative anesthesia (lidocaine 1% with adrenaline) as to standard practice.

In each surgical procedure the proposed skin excision was marked. When the excision was a circle its perimeter was calculated using the standard formula and when the excision was an ellipse its perimeter was calculated using the Ramanujan formula. The time required to complete the incision was calculated. The incision included cutting of the epidermis and dermis. Incision was considered completed when hypodermis was reached. The perimeter in millimeters divided by the time in seconds gave the speed of incision movement (mm/s).

Only the tip of the microneedle was allowed to come in contact with the proposed incision line while the sides of the microneedle were not allowed to touch the skin edges at any time. To avert the skin edges away as cutting precedes, the surgeon and assistant applied mild traction pressure on either side of the skin incision.

The time needed to complete the excision was calculated. In all the cases only skin and superficial part of the subdermal tissue were excised. The excision was considered completed with the completion of the haemostasis.

Blood loss was also calculated. First dry gauze swabs were measured and then the gauze swabs soaked with blood. The difference between the two measurements was considered the total blood loss. One gram of blood was regarded as equivalent of 1ml of blood. No suction evacuation of blood was done while making the skin incision.

On completing total skin excision, the vitality of the skin was evaluated by checking its color and blood supply. Wound edges were inspected for any thermal trauma in form of fulguration and dermal peeling.

At the termination of the operation the postoperative defect was closed in manner of direct closure where there was no tension or with the use of local skin flaps in order to release the tension. Wound closure was achieved in two layers with interrupted sutures. The subcutis was sutured with 3/0 Polyglactin 910 while the skin was sutured with silk fibroin 4/0.

Wound complications occurring at any stage after the operation and at one month follow up were recorded. Each wound was inspected for wound healing complications comprising wound infection, dehiscence, necrosis, and haematoma.

All the patients were informed about the nature of the skin incision and written informed consent was signed.

RESULTS

Statistical analysis of the data was performed using the statistical program Statistics for Windows 7.0. A value of \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant.

Both groups of patients were homogenous according to the sex structure (\( p = 0.64 \)). The mean age of the patients in group A was 61.45 ± 19.8 and the mean age of the patients in group B was 69.03 ± 11.9.

The indication for surgery in terms of underlying diagnosis did not differ significantly between the groups and malignancy was diagnosed in majority of patients in each group.

The velocity of incision was analyzed in mm/s from the start of cutting till completing the incision. The speed of incision when steel scalpel was used ranged 2.6 ± 1.1 mm/s while the speed of incision when microneedle electrosurgery was used ranged 2.95 ± 1.2 mm/s. The speed of incision although not significantly was found to be slightly faster in the group B (2.6 ± 1.1 vs 2.95 ± 1.2; \( p = 0.17 \)) (Table 1).

The speed of excision was also analyzed. Excision included excision of skin and superficial part of the subcutaneous tissue. Excision was considered completed with the completion of the haemostasis. The speed of the excision was registered as non-significantly faster in group B in our study (1.74 ± 1.1 vs. 1.97 ± 1.0; \( p = 0.33 \)) (Table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Speed of incision (mm/s)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>mean ± SD</th>
<th>min - max</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scapel</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.7 – 5.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.17 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microneedle</td>
<td>2.95 ± 1.2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.7 – 6.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Speed of excision (mm²/s)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>mean ± SD</th>
<th>min - max</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scapel</td>
<td>1.74 ± 1.1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.4 – 6.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.33 ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microneedle</td>
<td>1.97 ± 1.0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.5 – 5.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
When the blood loss was measured as ml/mm$^2$ there was significant difference between the two groups for the value $p = 0.00089$. The mean blood loss was significantly lower in the group of patients operated with electrosurgery ($0.009 \pm 0.006$ vs $0.017 \pm 0.013$) (Table 3).

Results of this study showed that complications had 25% of the patients in the scalpel group and 20% of the patients in the microneedle group. Statistical analysis did not confirm as significant the difference in complications between the two groups ($p = 0.59$) (Table 4).

Macroscopic signs for thermal trauma as charcoal effect together with dermal peeling was noticed in two patients and only dermal peeling in only one patient. Prolonged wound healing and partial wound dehiscence was noticed in only one patient, one of the patients with signs of fulguration and dermal peeling.

In our study the postoperative complications were not significantly associated with smoking ($p = 0.54$).

### DISCUSSION

Wound healing of the skin after surgical incision is a primary factor affecting patient morbidity and recovery time. Although electrosurgical instruments are used increasingly for making deep layer incisions and tissue dissection, concerns about excessive scaring, high wound infection rate and poor wound healing have restricted the widespread use of electrosurgery for skin incisions.

Improvements in the design of electrosurgical devices have created generators that produce pure sinusoidal cut waveforms that cause minimal thermal damage to the tissue. This coupled with specialized cutting tips like microneedle can make a skin incision that does not differ from scalpel incision.

Several previous studies have investigated the use of electrosurgery in skin opening. Most were connected with general surgery and mainly for abdominal and thoracic skin incisions. They have shown that there is no difference in the wound healing between the wounds created with steel scalpel and the wounds created with electrosurgery (10-19).

The study of Sheikh B. et al. recommend the use of microneedle electrosurgery surgery in all neurosurgical procedures especially when blood loss has significant importance, such as in paediatric cases (20).

In our study the two groups did not differ significantly in the both incisional and excisional speed although both the incisional and excisional time were faster in the electrosurgery group. There was significantly less blood loss in the electrosurgery group compared with the scalpel group. There is no change in wound complications rate in the electrosurgery group. More over in our study most of the complications were associated with the patients operated with scalpel.

### Table 3. Blood Loss

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Blood loss (ml/mm$^2$)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n mean ± SD</td>
<td>min - max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scalpel</td>
<td>40 0.017 ± 0.013</td>
<td>0.003 - 0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microneedle</td>
<td>40 0.009 ± 0.006</td>
<td>0.002 - 0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$p$ (Student t-test)

### Table 4. Complications in general

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications in general</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Scalpel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>30 (75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10 (25%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$p$ (Chi-square test)

### Table 5. Complications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Scalpel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
<td>No 77</td>
<td>40 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes 3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematoma</td>
<td>No 75</td>
<td>36 (90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes 5</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed wound healing</td>
<td>No 67</td>
<td>31 (77.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes 13</td>
<td>9 (22.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dehiscence - partial</td>
<td>No 69</td>
<td>32 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes 11</td>
<td>8 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necrosis</td>
<td>No 71</td>
<td>33 (82.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes 9</td>
<td>7 (17.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p (Chi-square test) b$p$ (Fisher exact, two tailed)
On the base of this study it is suggested that the skin may be safely incised with electrosurgery. Furthermore, the recent increase in blood borne disease makes exclusion of the scalpel from the operative field an attractive option and the role of scalpel in making incisions may be completely taken over by the electrosurgery.

CONCLUSION

Electrosurgery is safe and effective way of performing elective surgical procedures in the facial region.

The findings of this study support the use of microneedle in surgical procedures concerning the facial region.
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